

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,917	01/10/2001 7590 08/26/2004		Anu Virtanen	297-010018-US(PAR)	8318
75				EXAMINER	
Clarence A. G			WONG, BLANCHE		
Perman & Green LLP 425 Post Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfield, CT 06430				2667	7
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amiliando
, .	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/757,917	VIRTANEN, ANU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Blanche Wong	2667
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moy statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in the</li></ul>	This action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed: 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12,13 and 15-23 is/are rejection claim(s) 10,11,14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.	,
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 10, 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	<u>001</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority documents.	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date #4-7.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 2667

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there are miscellaneous words: -(57) appears before the Abstract heading, Figure 3. appears after the paragraph. Applicant is reminded that the Abstract should point out the specific(s) of the invention and not written in claim language or as a research paper. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.
- 2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use. Applicant is reminded that the Specification should explain the specific(s) in aide of understanding the invention and not written as a research paper. For example, references [1] and [2] of pg. 17 should be removed or incorporated into the Specification.

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Art Unit: 2667

(h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. Claim 1-23 is objected to because of the following informalities: extra words. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 2, In. 1, the word – following – is rhetorical when used with "further".

In claim 3, In. 1, claim 4, In. 1, claim 8, In. 3, claim 9, In. 1, the word – in – is rhetorical after "wherein".

In claim 5, In. 6, the word – substep – is rhetorical after "wherein the step ... comprises ..."

In claim 7, In. 2, the words – following – and – substep – are unnecessary.

See reasons in claim 2 and 5.

Applicant is advised to review all other claims for similar informalities as above.

Art Unit: 2667

In claim 20, In. 2, after the first means-for limitation and before the second and means-for limitation, the word – and – is inappropriate because it is not yet at the end of the means-for series.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 5-9,12,13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claims 6,7,13, it is unclear what is meant by "the amount of coded data transmitted in the first and in the second frames corresponds to a certain fixed amount of original data." Hence, it is difficult to comprehend the technical essence of claims 7 and 13, reciting "puncturing the coded data transmitted in the second frames so that the amount of coded data transmitted in the first and in the second frames corresponds to a certain fixed amount of original data."

With regard to claims 8 and 9, claim 9 recites "the transmission gap having a first duration occurs during two sequential frames" and "the transmission gap having a second duration occurs within one frame". However, claim 8, which claim 9 are dependent, recites "said transmission gap having the first duration" and "said transmission gap having the second duration". It is

Art Unit: 2667

unclear whether the transmission gap is identical to said transmission gap because it is unclear whether a first duration is identical to the first duration, and a second duration is identical to the second duration.

With regard to claim 12, it also recites "the transmission gap having the first duration" and is dependent on claim 8. Therefore, claim 12 has similar problem as claim 9. See reason for claim 9.

With regard to claim 12, it is also unclear what is meant by "substantially half of the transmission gap having the first duration occurs during in the previous frame of said two subsequent frames" because it is unclear in which part of a frame substantially half of the transmission gap occurs.

6. Claim 5 recites the limitation "first frames" in ln. 3 and "second frames" in ln. 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5,15-20,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voyer et al. (U.S. Pat No. 6,469,995) in view of Mitsubishi (EP 0 984 581)(as provided by Applicant).

Art Unit: 2667

With regard to claims 1,17, 20,22, Voyer discloses a method for preparing an interfrequency handover (between UMTS and another system such as GSM; see also MAHO and NAHO, col. 1, In. 51-53) of a certain communication connection from a first frequency to a second frequency (handover between different carrier frequencies, col. 1, In. 31-32), said method comprising the following steps of:

periodically intermitting (col. 18, ln. 64-65; it is inherent in compressed mode that frames of info are intermittent or periodic; see also Fig. 2, 3c, 6c) the transmission/receipt of data on the first frequency for certain transmission gaps (col. 18, ln. 64-65; it is inherent in compressed mode that there are gaps between transmission of frames of info; see also Fig. 2, 3c, 6c), where the number of transmission gaps is at least one during each transmission period (see also Fig. 2, 3c, 6c), a certain sequence of transmission periods is used, and at least one transmission period has a transmission gap having a first duration and a second transmission gap having a second duration (col. 17, ln. 66-col. 18, ln. 1), and

periodically measurements (col. 17, ln. 26-31) on the second frequency during the transmission gaps on the first frequency,

as recited in claim 1.

However, Voyer fails to explicitly show second duration is different from the first duration, as recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2667

Mitsubishi discloses that the number of frames can varies according to the length of the idle period (para. [0267], In. 13-15). Hence, idle periods can have variable idle periods, and first and second duration can be different.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Mitsubishi in Voyer in order to obtain a first duration that is different from a second duration. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide for prevention of signal quality deterioration by compressed mode and thus minimization of the effects of transmission errors. Mitsubishi, para [0015], In. 1-8. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to combine Mitsubishi and Voyer to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 17.

With regard to claims 2 and 18, Voyer further discloses the step of receiving system information on the second frequency during a transmission gap on the first frequency, col. 17, ln. 38-40 (see also measurement of claim 1), as recited in claim 2.

With regard to claim 3, Voyer further discloses all the transmission periods (superframe, Fig. 3c and 6c; see also col. 13, ln. 51-col. 14, ln. 11 and col. 14, ln. 55-col. 15, ln. 45) are identical from the beginning of the first transmission gap within a transmission period to the end of the last transmission gap within the same transmission period.

Art Unit: 2667

With regard to claim 4, Voyer further discloses a certain number of transmission periods is repeated cyclically (there are two superframe shown, Fig. 3c and 6c; see also col. 13, In. 51-col. 14, In. 11 and col. 14, In. 55-col. 15, In. 45).

With regard to claims 15 and 16, Mitsubishi further discloses two transmission periods having different durations, or all transmission periods can have the same duration. Para. [0267], In. 13-15.

With regard to claims 17,20,22, Voyer further discloses a mobile station comprising:

means for receiving 2 (receiver, Fig. 8) data on a first frequency (receiver is receiving on different frequencies),

means for receiving information about the durations of at least two transmission gaps (transmission in between two superframes, Fig. 3c and 6c), as recited in claim 17.

With regard to claim 19, Voyer further discloses a UMTS mobile station.

Col. 1, In. 17.

Art Unit: 2667

9. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voyer and Mitsubishi as applied to claims 1-5,15-20,22 above, and further in view of Park et al. (U.S. Pat No. 6,498,933).

With regard to claims 21 and 23, the combination of Voyer and Mitsubishi discloses a network element according to claim 20 and 22 respectively.

However, the combination fails to show expressly a base station and RNC of the UTRA network.

Park disclose a base station and RNC of an UTRA network 22 (col. 1, In. 31-34) for inter-frequency/inter-system handover.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Park in the combination of Voyer and Mitsubishi in order to obtain a UTRA network with a base station and RNC. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide for communication in a compressed mode. Park, col. 1, ln. 53. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to combine Mitsubishi and Voyer to obtain the invention as specified in claims 21 and 23.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 10,11,14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2667

11. Claims 5-9,12,13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Alexandre (U.S. Pat No. 6,501,744) discloses a slotted mode in wireless CDMA systems where there are a leading frame portion preceding the slot, and a trailing portion succeeding the slot.

Dahlman et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,883,899) discloses a code-rate increased compressed mode DC-CDMA systems and method, where an idle part of the frame performs other functions.

Dahlman et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,896,368) discloses a multi-code compressed mode DS-CDMA systems and method, where a frame duration is defined as a duty cycle, when information is transmitted during a portion of the frame period.

Willars et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,533,014) discloses a non-continuous transmission for seamless handover in DS-CDMA systems that combines both Dahlman's features.

Willars et al. (U.S. Pat No. 6,597,679) discloses a control of compressed mode transmission in WCDMA, where slot duration can be controlled.

Art Unit: 2667

Lehtinen et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,532,226) discloses a method and arrangement for optimal scheduling of slotted-mode related measurements.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ru

BW August 19, 2004 KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER